Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/048,003	IKENOYA, TADAKATSU
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Sam Chuan C. Yao	1733
All Participants:	Status of Application:	
(1) Sam Chuan C. Yao.	(3)	
(2) Mr. Matthew Schneider.	(4)	
Date of Interview: 24 July 2004	Time:	
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description:	ant's representative)	
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed: N/A		
Claims discussed:		
Prior art documents discussed: N/A	,	
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: See Continuation Sheet		
Part III.		
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above. 		
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (Applicant/	Applicant's Representative Sig	nature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Examiner informed Counsel that, elected claims 1-6 are allowable. Non-elected claims 7-16 are also allowable. Proposed rejoining and allowing non-elected claims 17-20 by amending independent claim 17 to require a barrier layer to be made of "aluminum foil or an inorganic-oxide vapor deposition film". Counsel agreed. Examiner also suggested for Counsel to revised the abstract to make into a single paragraph and correct a Japanese reference number cited on page 4 of the specification. Counsel agreed.